

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/617,583	07/11/2003	Marcel Coderre	CDR-1	3683	
7590 05/04/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Ira S. Dorman Suite 200			HAMILTON, ISAAC N		
330 Roberts Str	eet		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
East Hartford, CT 06108			3724		

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/617,583	CODERRE, MARCEL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Isaac N Hamilton	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ 3) □ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un 	This action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7,8,15 and 16</u> is 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-14</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the strict of the	/are withdrawn from considerat	tion.
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11 July 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec o the drawing(s) be held in abeyan orrection is required if the drawing(nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94: 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/617,583 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Objection to the drawings is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pruonto et al. (3,335,390), hereafter Pruonto. Pruonto discloses body 16, 18; means for mounting 21; bow member 10; strip of material 10; end portions adjacent elements 18; first edge of the body is the side of the body shown in figure 3; body is generally planar and the bow member extends in the plane of the body as shown in figure 7; the body and bow member are integrally formed as a single piece in figure 7; synthetic resinous material in column 1, line 50; means for mounting 21 is a circular slot.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rhodes (2,927,171). Pruonto discloses body 34; means for mounting 46, 48; bow member 52, 54; strip of material 54; end portions adjacent elements 56, 58; first edge of the body is the side of the body shown in figure 3; body is generally planar and the bow member extends in the plane of the body as shown in figure 1; the body and bow member are integrally formed as a single piece in figure 2; means for mounting 46, 48 is a circular slot; tab 38.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/617,583 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pruonto in view of Morris (4,476,757). Pruonto discloses everything as noted above, but doesn't disclose a rectilinear slot. However, Pruonto teaches a rectilinear slot 37. It would have been obvious to provide a rectilinear slot in Pruonto as taught by Morris in order to adjust the body position relative to adjacent objects.
- 7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rhodes in view of Morris (4,476,757). Rhodes discloses everything as noted above, but doesn't disclose a rectilinear slot. However, Rhodes teaches a rectilinear slot 37. It would have been obvious to provide a rectilinear slot in Rhodes as taught by Morris in order to adjust the body position relative to adjacent objects.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 9-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Pruonto teaches a body with a bow member, but does not teach a tension gauge connected to one of the body and the bow member to indicate the level of force of the bow

member in a deformed state. Greco (6,435,485) teaches a bow member and a body and a tension gauge, but does not teach a means for mounting the body. Rhodes teaches a bow member and a body, but does not teach a tension gauge connected to one of the body and the bow member to indicate the level of force of the bow member in a deformed state. It would not have been obvious to use the references in combination with other prior art teachings to meet the claim limitations.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bullard is cited for a resiliently deformable bow member and a body.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac Hamilton whose telephone number is 571-272-4509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 8am and 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ΙH

April 28, 2005

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700